ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M09911

 Date Received:
 12/12/12

 Date Extracted:
 12/18/12

 Date Analyzed:
 12/18/12

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor M09911, F&BI 212203

212203-01 x10

212203-01 x10.060 ICPMS1

Instrument: ICP Operator: AP

Lower

Upper

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Germanium 66

Limit: 60

Limit:

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Analyte:
 ug/L (pp)

 Chromium
 180

 Nickel
 206

 Copper
 283

 Zinc
 12.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Method Blank

Not Applicable 12/18/12

Matrix: Units:

12/18/12 Water

ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M09911, F&BI 212203 I2-841 mb

Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:

I2-841 mb rr.067 ICPMS1

AP Operator:

Lower

Limit: 60

Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery:

74

Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

 ${\bf Chromium}$ <1 Nickel <1 ${\bf Copper}$ <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/21/12 Date Received: 12/12/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M09911, F&BI 212203

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 212248-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	104	104	71-130	0
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.41	93	94	71-120	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	22.4	93 b	90 b	52-134	3 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	143	77 b	77 b	51-142	0 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	80-119							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	83-119							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	81-120							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	82-120							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- $\ensuremath{\text{vo}}$ The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

12203	#	_		SAMPLE ((7)] ,-		age#_		_ of
					ECT NAME/NO. PO TRO Self nonitor						20#	TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH 4 de y Rush charges authorized by:					(e		
City, State, ZIP Seattle. Phone # 206-571-603	Ma	98134		PROJE	CT ADDRE	SS									[Disp Retu	ose af	ter 30 d mples	POSAL ays
							_			ANA	LYS	ES R	EQU	EST	ED				
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	כי'כח' אוי בע						Ŋ	lotes
Haskon Copper	01	12-12-12	H:30	H20	1					4		×					\downarrow		
									1							1	#		
																	\pm		
									+	4		-			-	+	+		
									4							1			
																1	\pm		
riedman & Bruya, Inc. 012 16th Avenue West	SIGNATURE Relinquished by: 7/102 /2				PRINT NAME Viacia 13 AL					C	COMPANY DATE TIM								
eattle, WA 98119-2029 h. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				VINH FE						BI		Ter.	•	7/15/12	دحما			
ax (206) 283-5044	Received by:							_	-		-						+		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 21, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works PO Box 3546 Seattle, WA 98146

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 12, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M09911, F&BI 212203 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1221R.DOC